FUNCTIONS STARLIKE OF ORDER α

BY MELVYN KLEIN

1. Introduction. Let f(z) be regular in the unit disk, \mathcal{U} , with an expansion of the form:

(1)
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

We will say that f(z) is starlike of order α in \mathcal{U} if:

Re
$$\{z f'(z)/f(z)\} > \alpha$$
 for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$;

we will denote by $S(\alpha)$ the class of all such functions for fixed α . We consider all real α : $-\infty < \alpha \le 1$. The class S(0) will be recognized as the class of functions starlike with respect to the origin.

For the natural number p we define the related classes.

$$S_p(\alpha) = \{ f_p(z) = f(z^p)^{1/p} : f(z) \in S(\alpha) \}.$$

Extremal problems for the coefficients in the power series expansions of functions in $S_p(0)$ and powers of such functions were recently investigated by J. T. Poole [4]. In this paper we will show that these coefficient problems are equivalent to extremal problems for the coefficients in the power series expansion of functions in $S(\alpha)$, $(\alpha < 0)$. This alternative approach leads to a substantial generalization.

We will also prove several theorems of the type commonly called "distortion theorems" for functions in the classes $S(\alpha)$. One such result is the:

THEOREM. Let $f(z) \in S(\alpha)$, $-\infty < \alpha \le 1$. Then for each natural number n there is a point $z = e^{i\theta}$ on the unit circle such that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(e^{i\theta}\cdot\eta_k)| \geq n,$$

where $\{\eta_k\}$ $k=1, 2, \ldots, n$ denote the nth roots of unity.

Let f(z) be regular in the exterior of the unit circle \mathscr{V} , except for a simple pole at infinity, with an expansion of the form:

$$\tilde{f}(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_n z^{-n}.$$

We will say that $\tilde{f}(z)$ is starlike of order α in \mathscr{V} if:

Re
$$\{z \tilde{f}'(z)/\tilde{f}(z)\} > \alpha$$
 for all $z \in \mathcal{V}$;

we will denote by $\Sigma(\alpha)$ the class of all such functions for fixed α . The class $\Sigma(0)$ is the class of functions whose compact complement is starlike with respect to the origin.

For the natural number p we define the related classes:

$$\Sigma_p(\alpha) = \{ \tilde{f}_p(z) = \tilde{f}(z^p)^{1/p} \colon \tilde{f}(z) \in \Sigma(\alpha) \}.$$

We will obtain partial results for the coefficients in the power series expansions of functions in $\Sigma_p(\alpha)$ and powers of such functions by methods analogous to those employed with $S_p(\alpha)$.

2. Two lemmas for the classes $S(\alpha)$. The first lemma is, essentially, a result of M. S. Robertson [6, p. 386]. Though in his paper he speaks only of $S(\alpha)$, $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, the same result holds for all $-\infty < \alpha \le 1$; his proof goes through without modification.

First a word about notation. If x is a positive real number and n a natural number, we will write:

$$\binom{-x}{n} = \frac{(-x)(-x-1)\cdots(-x-n+1)}{n!}.$$

LEMMA 2.1. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is in $S(\alpha)$, $-\infty < \alpha \le 1$, then:

$$|a_{n+1}| \leq \left| \binom{-2(1-\alpha)}{n} \right|$$

The second lemma is an extension of a theorem of Merkes, Robertson and Scott [1].

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

and that

(2)
$$f(z) = z[h(z)/z]^{1/(1-\beta)}.$$

Then $f(z) \in S(\alpha)$ iff $h(z) \in S(\alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta)$.

Proof. From (2) we calculate:

$$\operatorname{Re} \{z f'(z)/f(z)\} = 1 - (1/1 - \beta) + (1/1 - \beta) \cdot \operatorname{Re} \{z h'(z)/h(z)\}$$

so that

$$(1-\beta) \operatorname{Re} \{z f'(z)/f(z)\} + \beta = \operatorname{Re} \{z h'(z)/h(z)\}.$$

Thus
$$f(z) \in S(\alpha)$$
, i.e., Re $\{z f'(z) | f(z)\} > \alpha$ iff $h(z) \in S(\alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta)$.

3. A coefficient theorem for functions in $S_p(\alpha)$.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $f_p(z) \in S_p(\alpha)$ and let t be any positive real number. Then the coefficients of

$$[f_n(z)]^t = z^t + a_{n+t}z^{n+t} + a_{2n+t}z^{2n+t} + \cdots$$

are subject to the sharp bounds

$$|a_{np+t}| \leq \left| {n \choose n} \right|, \quad n=1,2,\ldots$$

Proof. By definition, $f_p(z) = f(z^p)^{1/p}$, where $f(z) \in S(\alpha)$.

We use the representation (2) for the function f(z), with $\beta = 1 - t/p$:

$$f(z) = z[h(z)/z]^{p/t}$$

or

$$f(z)^{t/p} = z^{(t-p)/p} \cdot h(z).$$

Let $\zeta^p = z$. This becomes

$$[f(\zeta^p)^{1/p}]^t = \zeta^{(t-p)} \cdot h(\zeta^p).$$

Equation (3) shows that the coefficients of $[f_p(z)]^t$ are identical with those of a function:

$$h(z) \in S(\alpha + 1 - t/p - \alpha[1 - t/p]) = S(1 + t/p[\alpha - 1])$$
 by Lemma 2.2.

Applying Lemma 2.1 to h(z) we find that it is subject to the sharp coefficient bounds:

$$|b_{n+1}| \leq \left| \binom{-2(t/p)(1-\alpha)}{n} \right|.$$

Thus these are sharp bounds for the coefficients $|a_{np+t}|$ of the function $[f_p(z)]^t$ as asserted.

It should be remarked that the hypothesis: $f_p \in S_p(\alpha)$ is equivalent to the condition: $f_p \in S(\alpha)$. For a straightforward calculation shows:

(4)
$$\operatorname{Re} \{z f_p'(z) | f_p(z)\} = \operatorname{Re} \{z [f(z^p)^{1/p}]' | f(z^p)^{1/p}\} \\ = \operatorname{Re} \{z^p f'(z^p) | f(z^p)\} = \operatorname{Re} \{\zeta f'(\zeta) | f(\zeta)\},$$

where |z| < 1 iff $|\zeta| < 1$. Thus we have the

COROLLARY 3.1. If $f_p(z)$ is starlike with respect to the origin, the coefficients of $[f_p(z)]^t$ are subject to the sharp bounds:

$$|a_{np+t}| \leq \left| {\binom{-2t/p}{n}} \right|, \quad n=1,2,\ldots$$

Proof. Set $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 3.1. This is Poole's theorem, if we restrict t > 0 to integral values.

COROLLARY 3.2. If $f_p(z)$ is convex, the coefficients of $[f_p(z)]^t$ are subject to the sharp bounds:

$$|a_{np+t}| \leq \left| {\binom{-t/p}{n}} \right|, \quad n=1,2,\ldots$$

Proof. The well-known condition that a function g(z) be convex is:

(5)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z\,g''(z)/g'(z)+1\right\} > 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathscr{U}.$$

Evaluating this functional for $f_p(z)$ we find:

Re
$$\{z [f_p(z)]''/[f_p(z)]'+1\}$$
 = Re $\{z [f(z^p)^{1/p}]''/[f(z^p)^{1/p}]'+1\}$
(6) = $p \cdot \text{Re } \{z^p f''(z^p)/f'(z^p)+1\}+(1-p) \text{ Re } \{z^p f'(z^p)/f(z^p)\}$
= $p \cdot \text{Re } \{\zeta f''(\zeta)/f'(\zeta)+1\}+(1-p) \cdot \text{Re } \{\zeta f'(\zeta)/f(\zeta)\}$

where |z| < 1 iff $|\zeta| < 1$.

Our hypothesis that $f_p(z)$ is convex together with (5) and (6) means that in our case:

$$p \cdot \text{Re} \left\{ \zeta f''(\zeta) / f'(\zeta) + 1 \right\} > (p-1) \cdot \text{Re} \left\{ \zeta f'(\zeta) / f(\zeta) \right\}.$$

Furthermore, $f_p(z)$ convex implies, a fortiori, that $f_p(z)$ is starlike; therefore by (4), f(z) is starlike so that:

$$p \cdot \operatorname{Re} \{ \zeta f''(\zeta) / f'(\zeta) + 1 \} > 0.$$

We have thus shown that $f_p(z)$ convex implies that f(z) is convex.

E. Strohhacker has shown [8] that if f(z) is a convex function then:

Re
$$\{z f'(z)/f(z)\} > 1/2$$
 for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Consequently, $f(z) \in S(1/2)$ so that by definition, $f_p(z) \in S_p(1/2)$. Setting $\alpha = 1/2$ in Theorem 3.1 we obtain the stated result.

In the paper of Strohhacker referred to just above he proves that a convex function is also a star function of order not less than 1/2, but that the converse is false. This he illustrates with the example: $f_1(z) = z + z^2/3$; he shows that $f_1(z) \in S(1/2)$ but nevertheless $f_1(z)$ is not convex.

It is natural to ask whether there is an order of starlikeness $\alpha > 1/2$ which will guarantee convexity. We will show there is none; that is to say:

THEOREM 3.2. For every $\alpha < 1$ there exists a function $g(z) \in S(\alpha)$ which is not convex.

Proof. As we shall show presently, if $f(z) \in S(\alpha)$, $\alpha < 1$, there is some point $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}$ for which

(7) Re
$$\{\zeta f''(\zeta)/f'(\zeta)+1\}$$
 - Re $\{\zeta f'(\zeta)/f(\zeta)\}$ = $\delta < 0$ and Re $\{\zeta f'(\zeta)/f(\zeta)\}$ < 2.

Choose p so large that: $2+p \cdot \delta < 0$.

Now let $f(z) \in S(\alpha)$ and consider the function $f_{\nu}(z)$. By (6):

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z \left[f_{p}(z) \right]'' / [f_{p}(z)]' + 1 \right\}$$

$$= p \cdot \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \zeta f''(\zeta) / f'(\zeta) + 1 \right\} - p \cdot \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \zeta f'(\zeta) / f(\zeta) \right\} + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \zeta f'(\zeta) / f(\zeta) \right\}$$

$$$$

Thus $f_p(z)$ is not convex. On the other hand we have by (4) that $f_p(z) \in S(\alpha)$. This is the sought after function, g(z).

It remains to establish the inequality (7).

The difference on the left side can be expressed as:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z\frac{d}{dz}\log\left(z\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right\} = \operatorname{Re}\left\{z\frac{d}{dz}\log\left(1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \cdots\right)\right\}$$
$$= \operatorname{Re}\left\{z\frac{b_1 + 2b_2z + 3b_3z^2 + \cdots}{1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \cdots}\right\}.$$

The only function for which $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = 0$ is $f(z) \equiv z$; this function is excluded by the hypothesis: $\alpha < 1$. The expression in braces is therefore a MacLaurin series equal to zero at z = 0 but not identically zero. By continuity it maps a neighborhood of the origin onto a neighborhood of the origin. Since Re $\{\zeta f'(\zeta)/f(\zeta)\}=1$ at $\zeta=0$, this neighborhood can be chosen small enough that Re $\{\zeta f'(\zeta)/f(\zeta)\}<2$ at every point of it. This proves (7).

4. A coefficient theorem for functions in $\Sigma_p(\alpha)$. In this section we obtain partial results for $\Sigma_p(\alpha)$ of the same type as those which were obtained for $S_p(\alpha)$ in Theorem 3.1. Our methods are modified owing to the lack of an equivalent to Lemma 2.1 for the classes $\Sigma(\alpha)$. We have only a theorem of Pommerenke [3] which gives sharp coefficient bounds for $\tilde{f} \in \Sigma(\alpha)$, $\alpha \ge 0$. His proof does not lend itself to an extension to cases where $\alpha < 0$. Pommerenke's theorem is:

LEMMA 4.1. Let
$$\tilde{f}(z) = z + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{a}_n z^{-n}$$
 be in

$$\Sigma(\alpha)$$
, $0 \le \alpha \le 1$.

Then $|\tilde{a}_n| \le 2(1-\alpha)/(n+1)$, $n=0, 1, 2, \ldots$, with equality for the functions:

$$\tilde{f}(z) = z(1+z^{-n-1})^{2(1-\alpha)/(n+1)}$$
.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose

$$\tilde{f}(z) = z[\tilde{h}(z)/z]^{1/(1-\beta)}.$$

Then $\tilde{f}(z) \in \Sigma(\alpha)$ if and only if $\tilde{h}(z) \in \Sigma(\alpha + \beta - \alpha\beta)$.

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 2.2.

THEOREM 4.1. The coefficients of $[\tilde{f}_p(z)]^t$, $f_p \in \Sigma_p(\alpha)$ where t > 0 and $(1-\alpha)t \leq p$ are subject to the sharp bounds:

$$|\tilde{a}_{(n+1)p-t}| \leq t/p \cdot 2(1-\alpha)/(n+1), \quad n=0,1,2,\ldots$$

Proof. In a manner analogous to that of the proof of Theorem 3.1 we arrive at:

$$[\tilde{f}_{p}(z)]^{t} = z^{(t-p)} \cdot \tilde{h}(z^{p}) = z^{t} + \tilde{b}_{0}z^{t-p} + \tilde{b}_{1}z^{(t-2p)} + \cdots$$

where $\tilde{h}(z) \in \Sigma(1+(t/p)(\alpha-1))$. When $1+(t/p)(\alpha-1) \ge 0$, that is, when $(1-\alpha)t \le p$, the coefficients of $\tilde{h}(z)$ are, by Lemma 4.1, subject to the sharp bounds:

$$|\tilde{b}_n| \leq \frac{2(t/p)(1-\alpha)}{n+1}$$
.

Thus these are sharp bounds for the coefficients: $|\tilde{a}_{(n+1)p-t}|$ of the function $[\tilde{f}_p(z)]^t$.

5. Covering theorems for the classes $S(\alpha)$. A problem in conformal mapping is determining the largest disk about the origin w=0, covered by every mapping in a particular class. The classical result of Koebe-Bieberbach [2, p. 214] states that every mapping $w=f(z) \in S$ covers the disk: |w| < 1/4. The next theorem settles this problem for the classes under consideration here. Since radial limits exist for functions of these classes, we can speak about the value of a function at a point on the unit circle without any ambiguity.

THEOREM 5.1. Suppose $f(z) \in S(\alpha)$, $-\infty < \alpha \le 1$. Then the image of the circle |z| = 1 under w = f(z) lies exterior to the disk:

$$|w| < (1/4)^{1-\alpha}$$
.

Proof. We write

(8)
$$f(z) = z[h(z)/z]^{1-\alpha}$$

By Lemma 2.2 $h(z) \in S(0)$. It is known that $S(0) \subseteq S$ [7] where S denotes the class of functions regular and univalent in \mathcal{U} , normalized as in (1).

If $z = e^{i\theta}$ is a point on the circle |z| = 1 we have $|f(e^{i\theta})| = |h(e^{i\theta})|^{1-\alpha} \ge (1/4)^{1-\alpha}$ by the Koebe-Bieberbach theorem.

Suppose the hypothesis f(z) is convex is inserted in Theorem 5.1. Then according to the result of E. Strohhacker [8] $f(z) \in S(1/2)$. Consequently, the image of \mathscr{U} under w = f(z) covers the disk $|w| < (1/4)^{1-1/2} = 1/2$; a well-known result.

We have established a sharp lower bound for $|f(e^{i\theta})|$ where

$$f \in S(\alpha) : |f(e^{i\theta})| \ge (1/4)^{1-\alpha}$$

Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_n$ be the set of *n*th roots of unity. It follows trivially that:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(e^{i\theta} \cdot \eta_k)| \geq n(1/4)^{1-\alpha}.$$

The next theorem will improve on this lower bound. We will need two lemmas.

LEMMA 5.1. If $f_1(z), f_2(z), \ldots, f_n(z)$ are each in $S(\alpha)$, then:

$$\left[\prod_{k=1}^n f_k(z)\right]^{1/n} \in S(\alpha).$$

Proof. Let

$$g(z) = \left[\prod_{k=1}^n f_k(z) \right]^{1/n}.$$

Straightforward calculation shows:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{z\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}\right\} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\operatorname{Re}\left\{z\frac{f'_{k}(z)}{f_{k}(z)}\right\} > \frac{1}{n}(n\alpha) = \alpha.$$

LEMMA 5.2 (RENGEL [5]). Let w=f(z) belong to class S and consider any system of n rays emerging from w=0 at equal angles. Then the maximal distance from w=0 of the nearest boundary points in the w-plane on these n rays is not less than $(1/4)^{1/n}$.

THEOREM 5.2. Let $f(z) \in S(\alpha)$ and $z = e^{i\theta}$ a point on the circle |z| = 1. Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_n$ be the set of nth roots of unity. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(e^{i\theta} \cdot \eta_k)| \ge n(1/4)^{(1-\alpha)/n}.$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$g(z) = \left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{f(z \cdot \eta_k)}{\eta_k} \right]^{1/n}.$$

Each of the factors is in $S(\alpha)$ and therefore by Lemma 5.1, $g(z) \in S(\alpha)$. Consequently we can write, as we did in (8):

(9)
$$g(z) = z[h(z)/z]^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{where } h(z) \in S(0) \subset S.$$

The mapping w = g(z) has the property that for every point $r \cdot e^{i\theta}$ in the closed disk $|z| \le 1$:

$$g(re^{i\theta} \cdot \eta_k) = \eta_k \cdot g(re^{i\theta}), \qquad k = 1, \ldots, n.$$

In other words, the set of *n*-fold symmetry $\{re^{i\theta} \cdot \eta_k\}$, k = 1, ..., n maps onto a set of *n*-fold symmetry. Using this fact, (9) and Lemma 5.2 we may conclude:

$$|g(e^{i\theta})| = |h(e^{i\theta})^{1-\alpha}| \ge (1/4)^{(1-\alpha)/n}$$

or

$$\left| \prod_{k=1}^n f(e^{i\theta} \cdot \eta_k) \right|^{1/n} \ge (1/4)^{(1-\alpha)/n}.$$

The inequality relating the arithmetic and geometric means then implies the inequality of the theorem.

THEOREM 5.3. If Re $\{zf'(z)|f(z)\}$ is bounded from below; that is to say, if $f(z) \in S(\alpha)$ for some α , there is a point $z = e^{i\theta}$ for which:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |f(e^{i\theta} \cdot \eta_k)| \geq n,$$

$$n=1, 2, \ldots, z=\psi(n).$$

Proof. Given arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ choose s so large that $(1/4)^{(1-\alpha)/ns} > 1 - \varepsilon$. By the preceding theorem:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{ns} |f(e^{i\theta} \cdot \beta_k)| \ge ns(1/4)^{(1-\alpha)/ns}$$

where $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{ns}$ are the *ns* roots of unity. The set of points $\{e^{i\theta} \cdot \beta_k\}, k = 1, 2, \ldots, ns$ on the unit circle can be considered as *s* disjoint sets of *n* points each, every one of which is a set of *n*th roots of unity (rotated on the unit circle). The above inequality can therefore be written as:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(e^{i\theta} \cdot \phi_j \cdot \eta_k)| \ge ns(1/4)^{(1-\alpha)/ns}$$

where $\{\eta_k\}$, $k=1,\ldots,n$, are the *n*th roots of unity and $\{\phi_j\}$, $j=1,\ldots,s$, are the first s of the ns roots of unity. This means that for some ϕ_j :

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(e^{i\theta} \cdot \phi_j \cdot \eta_k)| \ge n(1/4)^{(1-\alpha)/ns} > n(1-\varepsilon).$$

Since ε is arbitrary the result follows.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. P. Merkes, M. S. Robertson and W. T. Scott, On products of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 960-964.
 - 2. Z. Nehari, Conformal mapping, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952.
 - 3. C. Pommerenke, On meromorphic starlike functions, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 221-235.
- 4. J. T. Poole, Coefficient extremal problems for schlicht functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1966), 455-475.
- 5. E. Rengel, Über einige Schlitztheorems der konformen Abbildung, Schr. Math. u. Inst. Angew. Math. Univ. Berlin 1 (1933), 141-162.
 - 6. M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math. 37 (1936), 374-408.
- 7. L. Spacek, Contribution à la théorie des fonctions univalentes, Casopis Pest. Mat. 62 (1932), 12-19.
- 8. E. Strohhacker, Beiträge zur Theorie der schlichten Funktionen, Math. Z. 37 (1933), 356-380.

New York University, University Heights